

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

xandria Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/938,536 08/27/2001 | | 08/27/2001 | Jeong Kwon Lee | P-199 2797 | |
| 34610 | 7590 | 12/22/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| FLESHNE | R & KIM | I, LLP | PRIETO, BEATRIZ | | |
| P.O. BOX 2 | 21200 | | | | |
| CHANTILL | Y, VA 2 | 20153 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | • | | 2142 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 09/938,536 | LEE, JEONG KWON | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Prieto B. | 2142 | | |

| | Prieto B. | 2142 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl | ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED MITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jected claims. | |
| 4. X The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | , | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidar | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attach | ned. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu arguments are not persuasive. | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | | | <i>Q</i> . |
| | | Sev | tur fuch |

BEATRIZPRIETO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed amendment which according to applicant (remarks p. 10) merely incorporates features from the dependent claims into the independent claims, has been carefully reviewed. Canceled claims 2-4, 14 and 22-23 as filed 3/14/05 fail to claim "wherein the IRQ signal and the ACK signal <u>each separately</u> comprise a control line to carry information <u>between the CPU and the PLD relating</u> to a change in connection state of the connector, wherein the state is one of connection and disconnection." Thus after-final amendment is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 or 1.312, respectively (see MPEP 201). Because, at least the above mentioned proposed added limitation changes the scope of claims as filed 3/14/05, the proposed after-final amendment filed 12/06/05 will not be entered.

BEATRIZ PRIETO
PRIMARY EXAMINER